

REMARKS

Claims 1 and 14 have been amended. Claims 1-8 and 14-20 are still pending in the present application. Reexamination and allowance of the pending claims are respectfully requested.

First, the "Amendment to Quayle Action" that was filed in August 2007 was filed in error. It should have been filed in the CIP application, but contained this serial number in error. Please ignore it.

Second, the allowance of claim 20 is gratefully acknowledged.

Claims 1, 3, 4 and 6 stand rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by USP 6,612,453 to Joo Tai ("JT"). Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8-17 and 19 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over USP 5,064,195 to McMahan et al. ("McMahan") in view of USP 6,520,365 to Schneider ("Schneider"). These rejections are respectfully traversed.

Claim 1

Independent claim 1 has been amended to recite that the at least one opening is provided in the sidewall defined by the covering. See the openings 60 as referred to on page 4, lines 30-32, which are provided for amusement/game purposes.

In contrast, JT is completely silent about the provision of any openings in its cloth 12. In addition, it would not be obvious to modify JT to provide openings in its cloth 12 because JT is directed to a storage basket 10. It would not be desirable for a storage basket to have openings through which stored items can escape or fall out of. Thus, claim 1, and claims 2-8 depending therefrom, are allowable over JT.

Similarly, Schneider is completely silent about the provision of any openings in its fabric sidewall 18. As suggested by the Examiner on page 3 of the Final Office Action, the element 870 is not provided on a sidewall. Also, McMahan does not teach or suggest a coiled wire supporting a covering which is attached to the wire to define an interior tunnel-like passageway, with openings provided in the covering. Thus, even a combination of McMahan and Schneider will not yield the claimed invention in claim 1.


In addition, it would not be obvious to modify the container 10 in Schneider to provide openings in its sidewall 18 because Schneider is directed to a container. It would not be desirable for a container to have openings through which stored items can escape or fall out of. Thus, claim 1, and claims 2-8 depending therefrom, are allowable over the combination of McMahan and Schneider.

Claim 14

Independent claim 14 recites a third tie member provided in the sidewall defined by the covering at a location between the first end and the second end. See tie members 48, 50 as referred to on page 4, lines 8-14 of the specification, with these tie members 48, 50 functioning to allow the length of the tunnel 14 to be reduced or varied. In contrast, neither McMahan nor Schneider teach or suggest tie members provided on the sidewall at a location between the first end and the second end of the covering. As suggested by the Examiner on page 3 of the Final Office Action, the element 772 is not provided on a sidewall. There is also no teaching or suggestion in Schneider about varying the length of the container 10. Thus, even a combination of McMahan and Schneider will not yield the claimed invention in claim 14.

In light of the above, allowance of all pending claims is respectfully requested. The Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned if there are any amendments that are needed to place this application in condition for allowance.

Respectfully Submitted,


Raymond Sun
Attorney for Applicant
12420 Woodhall Way
Tustin, CA 92782
Tel: 949-252-9180


Dated: January 11, 2008

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the United States Postal service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.

Date: January 11, 2008

By:


Raymond Sun